CSF tau microtubule binding region identifies tau tangle and clinical stages of Alzheimer’s disease
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Tau is a microtubule associated protein in the brain that aggregates in Alzheimer’s disease to form pathological tangles and neurites. Insoluble tau aggregates composed of the microtubule binding region (MTBR) of tau are highly associated with the cognitive and clinical symptoms of Alzheimer’s disease. In contrast, levels of soluble forms of tau, such as CSF total tau and phosphorylated tau-181 and tau-217, increase prior to tau aggregation in Alzheimer’s disease, but these biomarkers do not measure the MTBR of tau. Thus, how CSF MTBR-tau is altered in Alzheimer’s disease remains unclear. In this study, we used sequential immunoprecipitation and chemical extraction methods followed by mass spectrometry to analyse MTBR-tau species in Alzheimer’s disease and control CSF. We quantified MTBR-tau-specific regions in the CSF and identified that species containing the region beginning at residue 243 were the most highly correlated with tau PET and cognitive measures. This finding suggests that CSF level of tau species containing the upstream region of MTBR may reflect changes in tau pathology that occur in Alzheimer’s disease and could serve as biomarkers to stage Alzheimer’s disease and track the development of tau-directed therapeutics.

1 Department of Neurology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA
2 Hope Center for Neurological Disorders, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA
3 Charles F. and Joanne Knight Alzheimer’s Disease Research Center, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

Correspondence to Randall J. Bateman
Department of Neurology, Washington University School of Medicine
BJC Institute for Health 9603, 425 South Euclid Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63110
E-mail: batemanr@wustl.edu

Keywords: CSF; biomarkers; tau; microtubule binding region; Alzheimer’s disease

Abbreviations: CDR =Clinical Dementia Rating; MS =mass spectrometry; MTBR =microtubule binding region; ROC =receiver operating characteristic; SUVR =standardized uptake value ratio

Introduction

Accumulation of tau protein aggregates in the brain is one of the hallmarks of Alzheimer’s disease and other neurodegenerative diseases called tauopathies. Tau pathology appears to propagate across brain regions and spread by the transmission of specific pathological tau species from cell to cell in a prion-like manner although the nature of these species (i.e. monomeric, oligomeric, and fibril species) and the spreading process are uncertain (Frost et al., 2009; Goedert et al., 2010, 2017; Lasagna-Reeves et al., 2012; Sanders et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2016; Mirbaha et al., 2018). In addition, tau has more than 100 potential post-translational modification
sites, including phosphorylation, in addition to multiple truncation sites (Meredith et al., 2013; Sato et al., 2018; Barthelemy et al., 2019; Cicognola et al., 2019; Blennow et al., 2020). Thus, identifying specific pathological tau species involved in tau spread is challenging. Several mass spectrometry (MS) studies suggest that the microtubule-binding region (MTBR) of tau is enriched in aggregates in Alzheimer’s disease brain (Taniguchi-Watanabe et al., 2016; Roberts et al., 2020). Moreover, a series of cryogenic electron microscopy (cryo-EM) studies demonstrate that the core structure of tau aggregates consists of a subsegment of the MTBR domain and the particular conformation depends on the tauopathy (Fitzpatrick et al., 2017; Falcon et al., 2018, 2019; Zhang et al., 2020). These findings strongly suggest that MTBR-tau is critical for tau aggregation. However, these studies used post-mortem brain tissue. Little is known about the pathophysiology of corresponding extracellular MTBR-containing tau species in CSF, which may serve as a surrogate biomarker of brain tau aggregates in living humans.

CSF is routinely obtained from study participants via lumbar puncture during clinical visits and is widely used to monitor brain changes over the course of neurodegenerative disease. Previous CSF tau biomarker studies suggested that MTBR-tau was missing in CSF and focused on N-terminal and mid-domain regions (Meredith et al., 2013; Sato et al., 2018). The species composed of the N-terminus to mid-domain appear to be actively secreted from neurons into the extracellular space after truncation between the mid- and the MTBR-domain (Sato et al., 2018). Detection of MTBR-tau species were reported (Barthelemy et al., 2016a, b) but have not been characterized in relationship to disease. Recently, a tau species containing a cleavage at residue 368 (tau368) within the repeat region 4 (R4) was identified in CSF (Blennow et al., 2020), which suggests that it might remain intracellular, enabling aggregation. This species may be a potential biomarker surrogate of tau PET pathology, supporting the hypothesis that tau species containing MTBR in CSF should reflect the underlying tau pathology. However, it is unclear whether tau368 reflects the overall pool of MTBR-tau species given the variations in regions, truncations and conformational structures not captured by antibodies.

In this study, we highlight the presence and potential utility of MTBR-tau species as Alzheimer’s disease biomarkers using immunoprecipitation and antibody-independent strategies to analyse CSF tau species. Our results show that a significant amount of MTBR-tau exists in CSF with a C-terminal stub. Moreover, different regions of CSF MTBR-tau stage disease progression and correlate with tau aggregation within the Alzheimer’s disease brain. These findings provide new insights into the relationship between MTBR-tau in the brain and CSF and support the use of CSF tau as a fluid biomarker for Alzheimer’s disease.

### Material and methods

#### Human brain samples

**Discovery cohort**

Post-mortem frozen brain tissue samples from two participants with Alzheimer’s disease pathology and two control participants without pathology were provided by the Knight ADRC Pathology Core at Washington University School of Medicine. Each sample was classified according to the National Institute on Aging and Alzheimer’s Association amyloid stage A3 (Thal phase) for amyloid deposition and Tau Braak stage VI, B3 for tau aggregation. Samples from each participant were collected from 6 to 10 brain regions including the cerebellum, superior frontal gyrus, frontal pole, temporal, occipital, thalamus, amygdala, pons, parietal and striatum.

**Validation cohort**

Additional post-mortem frozen brain tissue samples from the parietal lobe were analysed from 20 participants (eight amyloid-negative and 12 amyloid-positive by CSF amyloid-β 42/40 ratios) as a validation cohort. The 12 amyloid-positive samples were further divided into clinical groups according to their Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) scores, and classified as very mild to moderate Alzheimer’s disease (amyloid-positive, CDR = 0.5–2, n = 5) or severe Alzheimer’s disease (amyloid-positive, CDR = 3, n = 7). These human studies were approved by the Washington University Institutional Review Board.

#### Human CSF samples

**Cross-sectional cohort**

CSF samples from 100 participants were collected from the amyloid-β stable isotope labelling kinetics (SILK) study (Patterson et al., 2015) for analysis as a cross-sectional cohort. CSF collection was performed as previously described (Patterson et al., 2015). Briefly, CSF was collected at baseline. Next, participants received a leucine bolus infusion over 10 min. Six millilitres of CSF was obtained hourly for 36 h. CSF aliquots collected at Hour 30 were used for MS measurement of tau species in this study. Amyloid status was defined using CSF amyloid-β 42/40 ratio as previously reported (Patterson et al., 2015). The corresponding cut-off ratio (0.1389) maximized the accuracy in predicting amyloid-positivity as determined by Pittsburgh compound B (PiB) PET. Amyloid groups were further divided into clinical groups according to their CDR scores as shown in Table 1.

**Longitudinal cohort**

From the cross-sectional cohort, 28 participants (14 amyloid-positive and 14 amyloid-negative) were followed for 2 to 9 years to assess the longitudinal trajectory of tau species in CSF. CSF samples were collected and analysed in the same manner as the cross-sectional cohort.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Cross-sectional cohort (n = 100)</th>
<th>Longitudinal cohort (n = 28)</th>
<th>Tau PET cohort (n = 35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Preclinical AD</td>
<td>Very mild AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>71 (5)</td>
<td>73 (7)</td>
<td>75 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, female/male</td>
<td>18/12</td>
<td>11/7</td>
<td>11/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF amyloid-β 42/40</td>
<td>0.18 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PiB SUVR&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.04 (0.11) [27]</td>
<td>1.99 (0.87) [16]</td>
<td>3.14 (0.92) [13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV45 SUVR&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.12 (0.42) [16]</td>
<td>1.85 (0.52) [8]</td>
<td>2.18 (0.53) [6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amyloid status</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV-1451 SUVR</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF tau level, ng/ml</td>
<td>MTBR-tau-243</td>
<td>2.44 (0.63)</td>
<td>4.47 (3.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MTBR-tau-299</td>
<td>0.39 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MTBR-tau-354</td>
<td>2.20 (0.41)</td>
<td>2.73 (0.79)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean (SD). Amyloid statuses in longitudinal and tau PET cohorts were determined historically from the results of the cross-sectional cohort and amyloid PET, respectively. The concentration of each MTBR-tau isoform (MTBR-tau-243, MTBR-tau-299, and MTBR-tau-354) was determined by mass spectrometry following to chemical extraction method in post-immunoprecipitated CSF samples. AD = Alzheimer’s disease; AV-1451 = flortaucipir; AV-45 = florbetapir; CI = cognitive impairment; NA = not available; PiB = Pittsburgh compound B.

<sup>a</sup>Values in square brackets indicate the number of available measures within the group.
Tau PET cohort

Thirty-five participants (20 amyloid-positive and 15 amyloid-negative, including 16 participants from longitudinal cohort) had tau PET AV-1451 standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR) measures within 3 years from the time of CSF collection. PET scans were performed as previously described (Sato et al., 2018) and the partial-volume correction was performed for SUVR using a regional spread function technique (Su et al., 2015). CSF samples were collected and analysed in the same manner as the other cohorts.

Mass spectrometry

Brain tau analysis

Frozen brain tissue samples were sliced using a cryostat at –20°C and collected in tubes. The tissue (300-400 mg) was sonicated in ice-cold buffer containing 25 mM Tris-hydrochloride (pH 7.4), 150 mM sodium chloride, 10 mM EDTA, 10 mM EGTA, phosphatase inhibitor cocktail, and protease inhibitor cocktail at a concentration of 0.3 mg/μl of brain tissue. The homogenate was clarified by centrifugation for 20 min at 11 000 g at 4°C. The supernatant (whole brain extract) was aliquoted into new tubes and kept at –80°C for 20 min at 11 000 g. The whole brain extract was incubated with 1% Sarkosyl for 60 min on ice, followed by ultra-centrifugation at 100 000 g at 4°C for 60 min to obtain an insoluble pellet. The insoluble pellet was resuspended with 200 μl of PBS followed by sonication and the insoluble suspension was kept at –80°C until use.

For whole brain tau analysis, tau species in whole brain extract were immunoprecipitated with Tau1 and HJ8.5 antibodies. The immunoprecipitated tau species were processed and digested as described previously (Sato et al., 2018).

For insoluble tau analysis, insoluble suspension (10–20 μg containing 2.5 μg of total protein) was mixed with 200 μl of lysis buffer {7 M urea, 2 M thio-urea, 3% 3-[3-cholamidopropyl]dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate, 1.5% n-octyl glucoside, 100 mM triethyl ammonium bicarbonate (TEABCl)} followed by spiking with 5 μl of solution containing 15N Tau-441(2N4R) Uniformly Labeled (100 pg/μl) as an internal standard. The tau species consisting primarily of N-terminal to mid-domain regions were immunoprecipitated with Tau1 and HJ8.5 antibodies. Immunoprecipitated tau species were processed and digested as described previously (Sato et al., 2018). Subsequently, 20 μl of 15N-tau internal standard (100 pg/μl) was spiked into the post-immunoprecipitated CSF. Then, tau was chemically extracted as previously reported (Barthélemy et al., 2016b) with some modifications. Highly abundant CSF proteins were precipitated using 25 μl of perchloric acid. After mixing and incubation on ice for 15 min, the mixture was centrifuged at 20 000g for 15 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was further purified using the Oasis HLB 96-well μElution Plate (Waters) according to the following steps. The plate was washed once with 300 μl of methanol and equilibrated once with 500 μl of 0.1% FA in water. The supernatant was added to the Oasis HLB 96-well μElution Plate and adsorbed to the solid phase. Then, the solid phase was washed once with 500 μl of 0.1% FA in water. Elution buffer (100 μl; 35% acetonitrile and 0.1% FA in water) was added, and the eluent was dried by SpeedVac. Dried sample was dissolved by 50 μl of trypsin solution (10 ng/μl) in 50 mM TEABCl and incubated at 37°C for 20 h.

After incubation for both immunoprecipitated and chemically extracted samples, each tryptic digest was purified by solid phase extraction on C18 TopTip. In this purification process, 5 fmol each of AQUA internal-standard peptide for residues 354-369 (MTBR-tau-354) and 354-368 (tau368) was spiked for the differential quantification. Before eluting samples, 3% hydrogen peroxide and 3% formic acid (FA) in water were added onto the beads, followed by overnight incubation at 4°C to oxidize the peptides containing methionine. The eluent was lyophilized and resuspended in 27.5 μl of 2% acetonitrile and 0.1% FA in water prior to MS analysis on a nanoAcquity UPLC system (Waters) coupled to Orbitrap FusionTM TridriTM or Orbitrap TridriTM EclipseTM (Thermo Scientific) operating in parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) mode.

Sixteen brain tau peptides from both whole brain tau and insoluble tau species were quantified by comparison with corresponding isotopomer signals from the 15N or AQUA internal standard (Supplementary Table 1). Peptide-profile comparisons across brain samples were performed by normalizing each peptide amount by a mid-domain tau peptide (residue 181-190).

CSF tau analysis

CSF (455 μl) was mixed with 10 μl of solution containing 15N Tau-441(2N4R) Uniformly Labeled (100 pg/μl) as an internal standard. The tau species consisting primarily of N-terminal to mid-domain regions were immunoprecipitated with Tau1 and HJ8.5 antibodies. Immunoprecipitated tau species were processed and digested as described previously (Sato et al., 2018). Subsequently, 20 μl of 15N-tau internal standard (100 pg/μl) was spiked into the post-immunoprecipitated CSF. Then, tau was chemically extracted as previously reported (Barthélemy et al., 2016b) with some modifications. Highly abundant CSF proteins were precipitated using 25 μl of perchloric acid. After mixing and incubation on ice for 15 min, the mixture was centrifuged at 20 000g for 15 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was further purified using the Oasis HLB 96-well μElution Plate (Waters) according to the following steps. The plate was washed once with 300 μl of methanol and equilibrated once with 500 μl of 0.1% FA in water. The supernatant was added to the Oasis HLB 96-well μElution Plate and adsorbed to the solid phase. Then, the solid phase was washed once with 500 μl of 0.1% FA in water. Elution buffer (100 μl; 35% acetonitrile and 0.1% FA in water) was added, and the eluent was dried by SpeedVac. Dried sample was dissolved by 50 μl of trypsin solution (10 ng/μl) in 50 mM TEABCl and incubated at 37°C for 20 h.

After incubation for both immunoprecipitated and chemically extracted samples, each tryptic digest was purified by solid phase extraction on C18 TopTip. In this purification process, 5 fmol each of AQUA internal-standard peptide for residues 354-369 (MTBR-tau-354) and 354-368 (tau368) was spiked for the differential quantification. Before eluting samples, 3% hydrogen peroxide and 3% FA in water were added onto the beads, followed by overnight incubation at 4°C to oxidize the peptides containing methionine. The eluent was lyophilized and resuspended in 27.5 μl of 2% acetonitrile and 0.1% FA in water prior to MS analysis on a
nanoAcquity UPLC system coupled to Orbitrap Fusion™ Lumos™ Tribrid™ or Orbitrap Tribrid™ Eclipse™ mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) operating in PRM mode. Nineteen CSF tau peptides were quantified (Supplementary Table 1). The schematic procedure of CSF tau analysis is described in Supplementary Fig. 1.

Statistical analysis
Differences in biomarker values were assessed with one-way ANOVAs, unless otherwise specified. A two-sided $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant and corrected for multiple comparisons using Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) method with FDR set at 5% (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). Spearman correlations were used to assess associations between tau biomarkers and cognitive testing measures and tau PET SUVR.

Data availability
The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its Supplementary material.

Results
Enrichment profiling of tau species in Alzheimer’s disease brain
We hypothesized that tau aggregation in Alzheimer’s disease brain would be reflected in tau profiles in CSF. Therefore, we first analysed tau profiles in insoluble extracts from Alzheimer’s disease and control brains (Fig. 1B, discovery cohort) to later compare with CSF tau profiles. We identified that the species containing residues 299-317 (MTBR-tau-299) and 354-369 (MTBR-tau-354), located between R2 and R3 domains and within the R4 domain, respectively, were more enriched in the insoluble extract from Alzheimer’s disease brain than control by 3–4-fold. The upstream region of MTBR residue 243-254 (MTBR-tau-243) was also about three times greater in Alzheimer’s disease brain as compared to the control, while species containing residues 260-267 and 275-280 located within R1 and R2 domains, respectively, did not differ between Alzheimer’s disease and control tissues. No other regions of tau were enriched in Alzheimer’s disease brain compared to controls. Of note, no change was observed for the identified MTBR-tau species in whole brain tau (whole brain extract) between Alzheimer’s disease and control tissues. No other regions of tau were significantly lower in Alzheimer’s disease brain compared to the control, likely the result of extensive hyperphosphorylation occurring on residues 199, 202, 205, 208, and 231 in insoluble tau aggregates (Malia et al., 2016; Neddens et al., 2018).

Next, we examined the recently reported truncated tau368 (residue 354-368) species generated by asparagine endopeptidase (Zhang et al., 2014; Blennow et al., 2020) against its paired non-truncated species, MTBR-tau-354, and quantified both species in brain insoluble extracts (Supplementary Fig. 3). We found a high correlation between tau368 and MTBR-tau-354 ($r = 0.9783$), suggesting that truncation at residue 368 occurs at the same rate in different stages of brain pathology.

Quantification of MTBR-tau in CSF
To determine whether the enrichment of MTBR-tau in Alzheimer’s disease brain aggregates are related to levels of soluble tau species in the CSF, we developed a method to analyse MTBR-tau in CSF. The method utilizes tau chemical extraction in post-immunoprecipitated (Tau1/HJ8.5) CSF followed by MS analysis (Supplementary Fig. 1), which provided sufficient recovery for quantifying MTBR peptides (Supplementary Fig. 4). Tau peptide abundance recovered by Tau1/HJ8.5 immunoprecipitation method before chemical extraction was dramatically decreased after residue 222; therefore, peptides from the N-terminal to mid-domain tau (residues 6-23 to 243-254) were recovered by the immunoprecipitation method (Sato et al., 2018). In contrast, the concentrations of MTBR-tau species quantified by the chemical extraction method were relatively low compared to the N-terminus to mid domain regions but still comparable to the other regions of tau by immunoprecipitation (Fig. 2). CSF concentrations from normal control participants (calculated as total values from immunoprecipitation and chemical extraction methods) ranged from 8.2 to 32.0 ng/ml for mid-domain species (residues 151-155, 181-190, 195-209, and 212-221), 0.4 to 3.7 ng/ml for MTBR-tau species (residues 243-254, 260-267, 275-280, 282-290, 299-317, and 354-369), and 6.5 and 5.1 ng/ml for non-MTBR C-terminal tau species (residues 386-395 and 396-406). The CSF concentrations of C-terminal-containing truncated tau species were in a similar range as those containing the mid-domain (residues 195-209 and 212-221), suggesting the C-terminal side of tau is also truncated in neuronal cells and secreted extracellularly in the same manner as N-terminus to mid-domain tau (Sato et al., 2018).
Figure 1 Brain MTBR-tau-243, 299 and 354 species are enriched in aggregated Alzheimer’s disease brain insoluble extracts compared to control brain extracts, confirming that MTBR-tau is specifically deposited in Alzheimer’s disease brain.

(A) Schematic of the quantified peptides from tau (grey bars), (B) the enrichment profile of tau peptides from control and Alzheimer’s disease brains ($n=2$ with six to eight brain regions samples/group in discovery cohort) and (C) the enrichment profile of tau peptides from control (amyloid-negative, $n=8$), very mild to moderate Alzheimer’s disease (AD) (amyloid-positive, CDR = 0.5–2, $n=5$), and severe Alzheimer’s disease brains (amyloid-positive, CDR = 3, $n=7$) (total $n=20$ in validation cohort). The relative abundance of tau peptides was quantified relative to the mid-domain (residue 181-190) peptide for internal normalization. The species containing the upstream region of the MTBR domain (residue 243-254, MTBR-tau-243) and repeat region 2 (R2) to R3 and R4 (residues 299-317, MTBR-tau-299 and 354-369, MTBR-tau-354, respectively) were highly enriched in the insoluble fraction from Alzheimer’s disease brains compared to controls and were specifically enriched by clinical stage of disease progression as measured by the CDR. MTBR-tau-299 and MTBR-tau-354 are located inside the filament core, whereas MTBR-tau-243 is located outside the core of Alzheimer’s disease aggregates (Fitzpatrick et al., 2017). Of note, residue 195-209 was decreased in Alzheimer’s disease brains, potentially due a high degree of phosphorylation. Data are represented as box-and-whisker plots with Tukey method describing median, interquartile interval, minimum, maximum, and individual points for outliers. Significance in statistical test: ****$P<0.001$, ***$P<0.001$, **$P<0.01$, *$P<0.05$.
To determine whether MTBR-containing species present in the extracellular space reflect Alzheimer’s disease-related changes, we analysed CSF from a cross-sectional cohort of amyloid-negative and amyloid-positive participants at different clinical stages: amyloid-negative CDR = 0 (control, n=30), amyloid-positive CDR = 0 (preclinical Alzheimer’s disease, n=18), amyloid-positive CDR = 0.5 (very mild Alzheimer’s disease, n=28), amyloid-positive CDR ≥ 1 (mild-moderate Alzheimer’s disease, n=12), and amyloid-negative CDR ≥ 0.5 (non-Alzheimer’s disease cognitive impairment, n=12).

First, we investigated CSF levels of three MTBR-tau species specifically enriched in Alzheimer’s disease brain (MTBR-tau-243, MTBR-tau-299, and MTBR-tau-354) (Fig. 3). All three species were present in both Alzheimer’s disease and control CSF and levels were greater in the amyloid-positive groups even for the asymptomatic stage (CDR = 0) when compared to the control group (MTBR-tau-243 P=0.0170, MTBR-tau-299 P=0.0002, and MTBR-tau-354 P=0.0076). Remarkably, these species had distinct characteristics in CSF after clinical disease onset. MTBR-tau-299 levels were 204% higher in preclinical Alzheimer’s disease compared to controls but saturated between very mild Alzheimer’s disease (CDR = 0.5) and mild-moderate Alzheimer’s disease (CDR ≥ 1) (P=0.2541), while MTBR-tau-354 levels were significantly lower in samples collected post-symptom onset (P=0.0345). In contrast, MTBR-tau-243 levels were incrementally higher across all disease stages including after symptom onset (P=0.0025). These results suggest that the regional specificity even within the MTBR-tau species can distinguish among different Alzheimer’s disease stages and that MTBR-tau-243 is a good Alzheimer’s disease stage-specific marker.

Next, we investigated whether CSF MTBR-tau species provide enhanced sensitivity and specificity in staging Alzheimer’s disease when compared to tau species containing other regions. We quantified multiple species containing N-terminal, mid-domain, MTBR, and C-terminal domains by region-specific methods (Supplementary Figs 5–7). N-terminal to mid-domain species were quantified by immunoprecipitation focusing on N-terminal to mid-domain tau. To quantify the species containing the MTBR and C-terminal region, post-immunoprecipitated (Post-IP) CSF samples were chemically extracted and analysed sequentially. Using the Tau1/HJ8.5 immunoprecipitation method (blue circle), peptide recovery dramatically decreased after residue 222; therefore, only N-terminal to mid-domain tau (residues 6-23 to 243-254) peptides were quantified by this method (Sato et al., 2018). In contrast, the chemical extraction method of post-immunoprecipitated CSF (red square) enabled quantification of whole regions of tau including the MTBR to C-terminal regions at concentrations between 0.4 and 7 ng/ml. Data are represented as means.
C-terminal species were quantified only by the chemical extraction method for post-immunoprecipitated CSF because quantifiable signals were not obtained by immunoprecipitation. Levels of species containing N-terminal domains quantified by the immunoprecipitation method were not different between the control and asymptomatic stage (except for residue 6-23, \( P = 0.0362 \)) or other neighbouring disease stages. The mid-domain species levels by the immunoprecipitation method were significantly greater in the asymptomatic amyloid stage than in controls (except for residue 212-221, \( P = 0.0762 \)) but the effect size was relatively modest (123%–168% versus control) compared to the MTBR-tau species (e.g. MTBR-tau-299 levels were >200% greater at the preclinical Alzheimer’s disease stage than control) and did not differ across later disease stages. Regardless of the extraction method (the combination of Tau1/HJ8.5 immunoprecipitation and chemical extraction for post-immunoprecipitated CSF, or chemical extraction alone for post-immunoprecipitated CSF), MTBR-tau-243, 299, and 354 species showed greater differences between control and disease stages compared to N-terminal to mid-domains species (residues 6-23 to 226-230, Supplementary Figs 7 and 8). Profiles from the other species containing MTBR to C-terminal domains (residues 260-267, 275-280, 282-290, 386-395, and 396-406) were similar to the mid-domain species and were not specific for the stage of Alzheimer’s disease clinical dementia.

In summary, the three representative species containing MTBR (MTBR-tau-243, MTBR-tau-299, and MTBR-tau-354) that were enriched in Alzheimer’s disease brain (Fig. 1) had particular characteristics in CSF with MTBR-tau-243 exhibiting the greatest specificity to Alzheimer’s disease dementia stage. Of note, a high correlation was observed between the tau368 truncated form and MTBR-tau-354 non-truncated form in CSF (\( r = 0.8382 \)) (Supplementary Fig. 9). The only species that could reliably distinguish the clinical stages of Alzheimer’s disease was MTBR-tau-243.

Figure 3 CSF MTBR-tau-243, 299, and 354 species exhibited different profiles to amyloid plaques and clinical dementia stage. Mid-domain-independent tau peptides (A) MTBR-tau-243, (B) MTBR-tau-299, and (C) MTBR-tau-354 concentrations in post-immunoprecipitated CSF using chemical extraction method from the cross-sectional cohort. Amyloid-negative CDR = 0 (control, \( n = 30 \)), amyloid-positive CDR = 0 (preclinical Alzheimer’s disease, \( n = 18 \)), amyloid-positive CDR = 0.5 (very mild Alzheimer’s disease, \( n = 28 \)), amyloid-positive CDR = 1 (mild-moderate Alzheimer’s disease, \( n = 12 \)), and amyloid-negative CDR = 0.5 (non-Alzheimer’s disease cognitive impairment, \( n = 12 \)). Mid-domain-independent MTBR-tau-243 showed a continuous increase with Alzheimer’s disease progression through all clinical stages. MTBR-tau-299 and MTBR-tau-354 concentrations similarly increased until the very mild Alzheimer’s disease stage (amyloid-positive and CDR = 0.5), but then either saturated (MTBR-tau-299) or decreased (MTBR-tau-354) at CDR = 1. The \( P \)-values in red or blue fonts indicate a significant increase or decrease, respectively. Data are represented as the individual results (plots) and the mean (bar). Significance in statistical test: \( \text{**} P < 0.001 \), \( \text{***} P < 0.001 \), \( \text{****} P < 0.01 \), \( * P < 0.05 \). NS = not significant.
Mid-domain-independent MTBR-tau-243 as a specific biomarker to stage Alzheimer’s disease

The incrementally greater levels of the MTBR-tau-243 species across Alzheimer’s disease clinical dementia stages suggests it may be a reliable predictor of disease progression. We investigated which MTBR-tau species (MTBR-tau-243, MTBR-tau-299, and MTBR-tau-354) had the highest correlations with results of cognitive tests such as CDR-sum of boxes (CDR-SB) and the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). We found that the mid-domain-independent MTBR-tau-243 species in the amyloid-positive group was highly correlated with both CDR-SB and MMSE ($r = 0.5562$, $P < 0.0001$ and $r = -0.5433$, $P < 0.0001$, respectively) (Supplementary Figs 10 and 11). Other species levels had much lower or no significant correlations with the cognitive testing (Supplementary Table 2), which suggests that CSF MTBR-tau-243 specifically differentiates clinical stage and global disease progression from the asymptomatic stage through advancing clinical stages of Alzheimer’s disease.

CSF MTBR-tau in an Alzheimer’s disease longitudinal cohort

From the cross-sectional cohort, a subset of participants ($n = 28$) were followed for 2 to 9 years to measure the longitudinal trajectory of MTBR-tau in CSF (Supplementary Table 3). MTBR-tau species enriched in Alzheimer’s disease brain (MTBR-tau-243, MTBR-tau-299, and MTBR-tau-354) were significantly increased over time in the amyloid-positive group ($P < 0.01$ by two-tailed paired $t$-test between first and second visits) but not the amyloid-negative group, except for MTBR-tau-243 (Supplementary Fig. 12). The amyloid-negative group also showed slight longitudinal increases of MTBR-tau-243 but lower than observed for the amyloid-positive group (means of differences = 0.4926 and 2.208 in amyloid-negative and positive groups, respectively).

Figure 4 shows the longitudinal change-rates of the MTBR-tau species concentrations in individual participants. Notably, one participant (Participant A) with the highest CDR after disease onset (CDR change from 1 to 2 in 7 years) showed specific trajectory profiles for each MTBR-tau species. MTBR-tau-243 continuously increased even from mild Alzheimer’s disease (CDR = 1) to moderate Alzheimer’s disease (CDR = 2), while MTBR-tau-299 and MTBR-tau-354 showed a decrease in this participant’s CSF after mild Alzheimer’s disease. Other participants in the amyloid-positive group were classified as preclinical Alzheimer’s disease or very mild Alzheimer’s disease (CDR = 0 or 0.5, respectively) at the first visit, and the increasing trend for each species level was seen for most of the participants, which supports the findings from the cross-sectional cohort.

Correlation with tau PET imaging

Tau pathology as measured by tau PET scans correlates strongly with cognitive decline and clinical stage of Alzheimer’s disease (Arriagada et al., 1992; Johnson et al., 2016; Ossenkoppele et al., 2016; Bejanin et al., 2017; Jack et al., 2018; Gordon et al., 2019). Here, we investigated whether MTBR-tau in CSF was correlated with brain tau pathology measured by tau PET (Fig. 5). Mid-domain-independent MTBR-tau-243 significantly correlated with tau PET SUVR ($r = 0.7588$, $P < 0.0001$), while MTBR-tau-299 and MTBR-tau-354 were much less correlated ($r = 0.4584$, $P = 0.0056$ and $r = 0.4375$, $P = 0.0086$, respectively). Tau species containing residue 226-230 also showed high correlation with tau PET SUVR ($r = 0.6248$, $P < 0.0001$; Supplementary Table 4), but lower than observed for MTBR-tau-243. This suggests that CSF MTBR-tau-243 and the surrounding region may be surrogate biomarkers of tau aggregation in the brain. The ability to specifically and quantitatively track tau pathology in the brain is a much-needed biomarker for Alzheimer’s disease clinical studies.

Discussion

MTBR regions of tau have been investigated primarily in brain aggregates but not extensively in CSF. In this study, using a sensitive and antibody-independent method to analyse CSF tau, we show the presence and quantification of MTBR regions of tau in CSF samples from human participants. Past studies utilizing antibody-dependent assays (Meredith et al., 2013; Sato et al., 2018) may have failed to detect MTBR-containing tau species in CSF due to assay limitations including antibody specificity or sensitivity, or the ability to recover potential conformations adopted by MTBR species in CSF. Alternatively, MTBR-tau may be truncated by various proteases, generating fragments that are not detected in conventional immunoprecipitation or immunoprecipitation followed by MS assays (Gamblin et al., 2003; Cotman et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2018; Quinn et al., 2018). In this study, we measured surprisingly robust concentrations of MTBR-tau species, at about 1% to 10% compared to the mid-domain tau species by using a chemical extraction method followed by mass spectrometry (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 1).

To date, it has been unclear whether MTBR-tau could be involved in extracellular tau propagation because extracellular levels were thought to be too low to seed and spread the pathology. Our new findings of the stoichiometry of MTBR in CSF supports the hypothesis that MTBR-containing species could spread extracellularly as pathological species. These measures also inform potential targets of anti-tau drugs in development for Alzheimer’s disease and provide a quantitative measure of the target as shown by the 2–3-fold increase of MTBR-tau species in CSF from patients with Alzheimer’s disease. However, a limitation is that the
pathological species may be present in the interstitial fluid (ISF) rather than CSF (Colin et al., 2020). Although some reports revealed that CSF tau originates mainly from ISF (Reiber, 2001) and human CSF from Alzheimer’s disease patients can induce tau seeding in a transgenic mice model (Skachokova et al., 2019), further investigations are necessary to address if tau species detected in CSF reflect pathological tau which can propagate in human brain.

Previous studies show that inoculation with Alzheimer’s disease brain tau aggregates into mouse brain induced severe tau pathology (Guo et al., 2016; Narasimhan et al., 2017); however, there have been no reports that identify the pathological tau species within the extracellular space that is also linked to disease progression in humans. This led us to test whether CSF MTBR-tau species change in Alzheimer’s disease, and explore their suitability as novel Alzheimer’s disease biomarkers. We found that CSF MTBR-tau levels are elevated in Alzheimer’s disease and are consistent with species enriched in Alzheimer’s disease brain insoluble fractions. Our finding that CSF MTBR-tau correlates with Alzheimer’s disease clinical stage and tau pathology suggests that MTBR-tau is related to the mechanism of tau propagation in Alzheimer’s disease, although the nature (i.e. monomeric, oligomeric, or fibril species) and origin of extracellular CSF MTBR-tau are still unknown. We hypothesize that CSF MTBR-tau may originate from brain aggregates or from neurons that actively secrete a monomeric species, and future studies should be designed to address this issue.

Interestingly, we found that the trajectories of the change in CSF MTBR-tau species were distinct across different regions of the MTBR and at each clinical stage of Alzheimer’s disease. We posit that this finding is due to structural changes in tau as determined by recent cryo-EM findings. Cryo-EM analysis suggests the ordered β-sheet core of tau aggregates begins at residue 306 (Fitzpatrick et al., 2017). Thus, MTBR-tau-354 (containing residue 354-369), MTBR-tau-299 (containing residue 299-317) and MTBR-tau-243 (containing residue 243-254) represent the internal side, border, and external side of the filament core, respectively. In contrast to both MTBR-tau-354 and MTBR-tau-299, MTBR-tau-243 levels were incrementally greater across all disease stages. MTBR-tau-243 and the nearby region (i.e. residue 226-230) levels in CSF are also highly correlated with tau PET SUVR performance (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Table 4), which supports our hypothesis that MTBR-tau-243 and potentially the nearby region deposit into brain tau aggregates and are also secreted extracellularly (Supplementary Fig. 13).

Our findings that MTBR-tau highly correlates with Alzheimer’s disease pathology and clinical progression stages provide important insights into promising targets for therapeutic anti-tau drugs to treat tauopathies. For example, a novel tau antibody, recognizing an epitope in the upstream region of MTBR (residue 235-250) demonstrated a significant and selective ability to mitigate tau seeding from Alzheimer’s disease and progressive supranuclear palsy...
brains in cell-based assays (Courade et al., 2018). Their findings suggest that the upstream region of MTBR could be related to extracellular pathological tau. This is supported by the antibody mitigated propagation of tau pathology to distal brain regions in transgenic mice that had been injected with human Alzheimer’s disease brain extracts (Albert et al., 2019). Another novel tau antibody, recognizing an epitope in the upstream region of MTBR (residue 249-258) demonstrated the reduction of inducing tau pathology in cellular and in vivo transgenic mice models seeded by human Alzheimer’s disease brain extracts (Vandermeeren et al., 2018). Antibodies targeting MTBR-tau-299 and MTBR-tau-354 species also mitigated tau pathology induced by seeding of P301L tau or Alzheimer’s disease brain extract (Weisová et al., 2019; Roberts et al., 2020), which supports the hypothesis that species containing specific regions of MTBR are responsible for the spread of tau pathology in tauopathies.

In summary, we discovered that MTBR-tau species in CSF exist as C-terminal fragments and are specifically increased in Alzheimer’s disease, reflecting the enrichment seen in Alzheimer’s disease brain aggregates. Our findings suggest specific MTBR-containing species (MTBR-tau-299 and MTBR-tau-243) are promising CSF biomarkers to measure amyloid and tau pathology in Alzheimer’s disease. In particular, the mid-domain-independent MTBR-tau-243 paralleled disease progression and tau pathology in Alzheimer’s disease and may be utilized as a biomarker of tau pathology and a target for novel anti-tau antibody therapies.

Figure 5 CSF MTBR-tau-243 is highly correlated with tau PET SUVR measure of tau tangles, while other MTBR-tau regions have low correlations with tau tangles. Correlations between tau PET (AV-1451) SUVR and mid-domain-independent (A) MTBR-tau-243, (B) MTBR-tau-299, and (C) MTBR-tau-354 concentrations in CSF are shown [control n = 15 and Alzheimer’s disease (AD) n = 20 from tau PET cohort]. Open circle = control; filled squares = Alzheimer’s disease. Mid-domain-independent MTBR-tau-243 showed the most significant correlation with tau PET SUVR ($r = 0.7588$, $P < 0.0001$).
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